

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> 7/10/18 1:14 PM
<b>Received:</b> July 08, 2018
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 1k2-9461-wl01
<b>Comments Due:</b> July 09, 2018
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** PTO-P-2018-0036

Changes to the Claim Construction Standard for Interpreting Claims in Trial Proceedings Before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board

**Comment On:** PTO-P-2018-0036-0001

Changes to the Claim Construction Standard for Interpreting Claims in Trial Proceedings Before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board

**Document:** PTO-P-2018-0036-DRAFT-0015

Comment on FR Doc # 2018-09821

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Kevin K.

---

## General Comment

To: Director Andrei Iancu

Docket Number: PTO-P-2018-0036

Re.: Comments on proposed changes to the Claim Construction Standard

Dear Director Iancu,

As an inventor since 1999, I strongly support the proposed changes to the Claim Construction Standard. USPTO has proposed eliminating the Broadest Reasonable Interpretation (BRI) of claim construction in favor of the Phillips claim construction for issued patents and to take into account prior claim constructions from prior proceedings at the PTAB or district court.

By submitting this comment, I denote my support for changes proposed by the USPTO. These changes are the required first step in assuring the return of integrity to the U.S. patent system. The use of the BRI in recent years has resulted in an inequitable system, a system that is duplicative in effort, unpredictable and inconsistent in result, and wasteful in terms of time, money and effort.

Im confident that the current leadership at the USPTO will return the U.S patent system to a fair and equitable one as has been envisioned by our forefathers.

Best Regards,

Independent Inventor, Since 1999